

UTIPULP Sustainability questionnaire

May 2025

- 4 answers have been received (1 national association, 3 companies).
- **General comments**
 - The report is very detailed and the initiative is very good and creates a high level of transparency.
 - It provides detailed information on the efficiency of the process and the possible costs.
 - The „visual design“ should be improved to be more user friendly and more attractive.
 - Some data are nonetheless missing. They are listed below in the related folders of the Excel file.
- **Wood sourcing**
 - The Wood Sourcing Statement should ask for the EUDR Compliance Statement, as this is necessary and depends on the sourcing region.
 - It should be mentioned how the supplier will provide the numbers related to the Due Diligence Statement (via an ad hoc platform or other means?)
- **Environmental data**
 - Directly list the emissions to be reported in air (SO₂, NO_x, N₂O, CO₂ fossil/biogen, Dust (PM₁₀/2.5), TSR...) and waste water (AOX, COD, N, P ...).
 - There should be indication on the bleaching process.
 - For the EU Ecolabel, the supplier should mention if he updates the data on eupulps.net.
- **Sampling**
 - The Blue Angel requires information on dust emissions (value, method and frequency are requested).
- **Energy and fuels data**
 - The blue Angel asks for the measurement period of the energy consumption values.
 - It also requires the waste landfilled (kg bd/adt), required for the Paper Profiles.
- **Carbon footprint**
 - This folder is particularly important and acceptable in its current form.
 - It would be beneficial to include another method besides the CEPI 10 Toes, such as ISO 14067 or an LCA method like ISO 14044/40. Comparing different methods for the calculation is not an easy task.

- **Confidential**

- The following data could fall under this folder: Harvest method (e.g. clear cut, Harvest cycle: x years, yield: m³/ha) ; Fertilizer use (chemical requirements per kg/Adt).

*_*_*_*_*_*_